KOHN, KOHN & COLAPINTO, P.C. 3233 P Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 202-342-6980 202-342-6984 (fax line)

October 11, 2002

Via Fax No. 202-324-5366

URGENT MATTER

Robert S. Mueller, III Director Federal Bureau of Investigation 935 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20535

Re: CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN: ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Dear Director Mueller:

On October 9, 2002 I wrote to you, on behalf of my client SA Jane Turner, regarding allegations of misconduct in the handling of child rape cases by the Minneapolis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"). Part of this allegation centers on the FBI's handling of three year old child who was brutally raped. Attached is a memo from a doctor who witnessed matters directly relevant to that rape. This memo completely supports the allegations raised by SA Turner in this matter.

As you can see, the doctor's concerns mirrored those set forth in SA Turner's October 9th letter. The doctor wrote as follows: "My concern is that this case was not investigated properly and the FBI has failed to protect this small child."

Please forward a copy of this letter to the responsible office. Additionally, I am able to email copies of the two depositions referenced in my October 9th letter to an appropriate investigating official. If I can be of any assistance whatsoever, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, Stephen M. Kohn

ENCLOSURE - JANUARY 22, 2001 MEMO

CC:

Deborah Strebel Pierce Special Agent in Change Minneapolis Division Federal Bureau of Investigation Via Fax No. 612-376-3284

Glenn A. Fine Inspector General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Room 4332 Washington, D.C. 20530 Via Fax: (202) 616-9898

Martha Fagg Assistant United States Attorney 320 Sixth Street, Suite 203 Sioux City, Iowa 51101 Via Fax No. 712-252-2034

Senator Patrick Leahy Chairman Senate Judiciary Committee Washington, D.C. 20510-6275

Senator Charles Grassley Ranking Member Subcommittee on Crime and Drugs Senate Judiciary Committee Washington, D.C. 20510-6275

MEMO Rel Concerns of the January 22, 2001

To whom the may concern:

I am writing with concerns of the sodomy of a 3-year old boy whom I took care of at Unimed Medical Center Emergency Department in Minot, ND on July 2, 1999. My concern is that this case was not investigated properly and the FBI has falled to protect this small child.

Some time after the incident, SA Jane Tumer had stopped by the ER We talked about another child abuse case. When I asked her about Nathan's dase, she told me that case was an MVA. I responded with a short nervous laugh of disbelief. I told her clearly 'no.' It was not an

Most frequently, when I see a child brought to the ER with an injury that could be attributed to abuse; there is a large gray area. I usually cannot say with definite certainty that a child has been abused. However, the Nathan Cartage case was a no-brainer--from the changing story of the father, the inappropriate behavior of the parents, and the inconsistancies of Nathan's injuries to the variations of her father's stories. This was a clear case of sodomy. There was no gray area. There was no ambiguity.

I wanted to give you some of my thoughts about the ER visit and some thirds not on the report that I still remember clearly. Nathan was transferred for further evaluation for a rectal injury that had been reportedly due to an MVA. On ER arrival, he was not wearing any of his original clothing! He was wrapped in a blanket and had a diaper that was but on him at the Belcourt medical facility.

Dad and mom came to the ER. Dad did all the talking. Nathan's mother was there, but never answered one question. Nathan's father said that Nathan had been under a car. I could not get a clear answer on where the car was, or where he was when the incident occurred. Dad said that the cariwas lifted off Nathan. Later he said something about a jack being used to get Nathan out from under the car. And somehow this

injury was the apparent cause of his rectal injury.

You're probably wondering how did the story of the MVA even begin. When examining Nathan, there was a partial tire print across his abdomen. This 'dirt' tire print was superficial. It was not embedded in the ikin. To me, this print was consistent with being laid across a tire on his pare belly. There was no way this child was run over by a vehicle, or a vehicle was on top of him. His belly exam was entirely normal. I did a CT scan of the abdomen because of the purported mechanism of action. The CT scan was normal. There was no injury to the abdomen. I believe the tire print on Nathan's abdomen was perhaps the reason for the MVA story that was initially provided by the father. I have recently been made aware that Nathan's father has given yet another version of the incident in a voluntary statement.

I had thought it was unusual that no one had bothered to talk with me about the case. But, perhaps the investigators involved had talked to the other physicians who cared for Nathan. I still find it hard to believe that anyone who read the hospital record could come to any other conclusion than sexual abuse. I was shocked when SA Turner said this case was an MVA.

This 3 year old child was sodomized, most likely by his father. Something went extremely wrong in the investigation of this case. I truly hope it will be looked into.

Please feel free to contact me if any questions.

Elten Dar, MD, FhD Unimed Medical Center Emergency Department 701/857-2260 (work) 701/838-2231 (home)

: